Treating Cervical Cancer with Antibody Conjugates

Bradley J. Monk, MD, FACS, FACOG

Arizona Oncology (US Oncology Network), Phoenix, AZ

Professor and Director Creighton University School of Medicine University of Arizona College of Medicine

Medical Director, Gynecologic Oncology Research at US Oncology Network

Vice President and Co-Director, GOG-Partners Foundation

Past-Chair, GCIG Cervical Cancer Committee bradley.monk@usoncology.com

Former Chair of NRG Oncology Cervical Cancer Sub-committee

Former Chair of Gynecologic Cancer Intergroup Cervical Committee

Thursday, September 9, 2021





Faculty Disclosure Relative to This Presentation*

	No, nothing to disclose
X	Yes, please specify:

Company Name	Honoraria/ Expenses	Consulting/ Advisory Board	Funded Research	Royalties/ Patent	Stock Options	Ownership/ Equity Position	Employee	Other (please specify)
Merck	X	X	X					
GSK	X	X	X					
AstraZeneca	X	X	X					
Regeneron	X	X	X					
GOG Foundation	X	X	X					
GNE/Roche	X	X	X					
EMD Serono	X	X						
Akeso Biopharma	X	X	X					
Genmab/Seagen	X	X	X					

* Refer to the Website for other disclosures not related cervical cancer



Anatomy of an Antibody-Drug Conjugate

Linker – Efficient release of payload at tumor site; Stable in circulation

Panowski S, et al. mAbs. 2014;6:34-45.



Payload – highly potent cytotoxic agent



۱[®]



Antibody Drug Conjugates Currently Approved for Cancer Therapy in the US

Gemtuzumab ozogamicin

CD33 AML Withdrawn from market 2010; reintroduced 2017

Trastuzumab emtans **HER2 Breast Cancer**



Six different platforms represented by approved agents

ALCL, Anaplastic large cell lymphoma; ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML, acute m lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; mUC, metastatic urothelial cancer; F triple negative breast cancer.

FDA website. Drugs@FDA. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/ Accessed July 13, 2021.

ine	Polatuzumab vedotin CD79b DLBCL			Loncastuximab tesirine CD19 DLBCL		
	Enfortur Nectin-4	nab vedo mUC	otin			
	Trastuzu HER2 Bre	mab der east, Gast	ric			
L3	2017	2019	2020	2021		
uzumab o 2 B-cell ALI	ozogamicir L	٦	<mark>Belantam</mark> BCMA Mu	ab mafodoti Itiple Myelom	n a	
nyeloid leuken	nia; cHL, classi	cal Hodgkins	Sacituzun Trop2 mU	nab govitecai C, TNBC	n	
PTCL, peripher	al T-cell lympl	noma; TNBC,		GC		



N®



Target: Tissue Factor (TF)

= transmembrane receptor for coagulation factor VII/VIIa expressed on subendothelial vessel wall cells

Normal physiological conditions: central role in initiation of the extrinsic pathway of the coagulation cascade

In oncogenesis: role in tumour angiogenesis, proliferation, metastases, thrombotic events

Antigen	Gynaecologic malignancy
Tissue factor	Ovarian cancer
	Uterine cancer
	Cervical cancer

Lee et Lui, Gynecol Oncol 2019; Cocco et al, BMC Cancer 2011; Förster Y, et al. Clin Chim Acta 2006



highly expressed in squamous AND adenocarcinomas of the uterine cervix



Proposed MOA of Tisotumab Vedotin

- Tisotumab vedotin is an investigational antibody-drug conjugate directed to tissue factor (TF) and covalently linked to the microtubule-disrupting agent MMAE via a protease-cleavable linker^{1,2}
- TF (thromboplastin) is highly prevalent in cervical cancer and other solid tumors and is associated with cancer pathophysiology and poor prognosis³⁻⁵
 - TF is co-opted by tumor cells to promote tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis⁶
 - In normal physiology, TF's primary role is to initiate the coagulation cascade after vascular injury⁶
- Tisotumab vedotin has multiple anti-tumor effects^{1,2,7}



1. Breij EC et al. Cancer Res. 2014;74(4):1214-1226. 2. De Goeij BE et al. Mol Cancer Ther. 2015;14(5):1130-1140. 3. Pan L et al. Mol Med Rep. 2019;19:2077-2086. 4. Cocco E et al. BMC Cancer. 2011;11:263. 5. Zhao X et al. Exp Ther Med. 2018;16:4075-4081. 6. Forster Y et al. Clin Chim Acta. 2006;364:12-21 7. Alley SC et al. American Association for Cancer Research Annual Meeting; March 29 – April 3, 2019; Atlanta, GA, USA; Abstract #221.

ADCC, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; ADCP, antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis; MMAE, monomethyl auristatin E; MOA, mechanism of action; TF, tissue factor.



Tisotumab vedotin is an investigational agent, and its safety and efficacy have not been established. © 2020 Seattle Genetics, Inc., Bothell WA 98021. All rights reserved. USM/TVM/2020/0021(1) © 2020 Genmab A/S





,

Tisotumab Vedotin: Cervical Cancer Expansion cohort (n=55) Phase I /II innovaTV 201 Study (NCT02001623)

Investigator- / independent review committee-assessed antitumor activity of tisotumab vedotin

	Cervical Cancer Cohort N = 55			
Antitumor Activity	Investigator-assessed	IRC-assessed		
ORR (95% CI), %ª	24 (13–37)	22 (12–35)		
CR, n (%)	0	1 (2)		
PR, <i>n</i> (%)	13 (24)	11 (20)		
SD, n (%)	21 (38)	19 (35)		
Non-CR/Non-PD, <i>n</i> (%)	0	2 (4)		
PD, <i>n</i> (%)	17 (31)	17 (31)		
Not evaluable, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (7)	5 (9)		
Median TTR (range), months	2.6 (1.1–3.9)	2.1 (1.1–4.6)		
Median DOR (range), months	4.2 (1.0+-9.7)	6.0 (1.0+–9.7)		
Median PFS (95% CI), months	4.2 (2.1–5.3)	4.1 (1.7–6.7)		
6-month PFS rate, % (95% CI)	29 (17–43)	40 (24–55)		

CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; DOR, duration of response; IRC, independent review committee; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; TTR, time to response. ⁺Indicates censored value due to ongoing response. ^aConfirmed ORR by Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors v1.1 criteria.







Vergote I et al. ESMO 2017. Abstract 1067. Hong D & Concin N et al, *Clincial Cancer Research 2020*



GOG-3023/ENGOT-cx6/innovaTV 204 Study Design

innovaTV 204 (NCT03438396) is a pivotal phase 2 single-arm, multicenter (United States and Europe) study evaluating tisotumab vedotin in patients with previously treated recurrent and/or metastatic cervical cancer



*Study sample size calculated assuming a confirmed ORR of 21% to 25% with tisotumab vedotin and to provide \geq 80% power to exclude an ORR of $\leq 11\%^{e}$

^aPaclitaxel plus platinum (cisplatin or carboplatin) or paclitaxel plus topotecan. ^bAdjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy or if administered with radiation therapy, was not counted as a prior systemic regimen. ^cJune 2018 to April 2019. ^dResponses were confirmed by subsequent repeat imaging performed ≥4 weeks after initial response assessment. ^eUsing one-sided exact binomial test at 0.025 significance level. CT, computed tomography; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; IRC, independent review committee; IV, intravenous; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; Q3W, every 3 weeks; RECIST v1.1, Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors version 1.1; TTR, time to response.



Primary Endpoint

ORR^d per RECIST v1.1, by independent imaging review committee (IRC)

Secondary Endpoints

- ORR^d per RECIST v1.1, by investigator
- DOR, TTR, and PFS by IRC and investigator
- OS \bullet
- Safety

Exploratory Endpoints

- **Biomarkers**
- HRQoL

Study was performed according to ENGOT-GOG Model C





Antitumor Activity by IRC Assessment

	N=101
Confirmed ORR (95% CI), ^a %	24 (15.9–33.3)
CR, n (%)	7 (7)
PR, n (%)	17 (17)
SD, n (%)	49 (49)
PD, n (%)	24 (24)
Not evaluable, n (%)	4 (4)

Data cutoff: February 06, 2020. Median duration of follow-up: 10.0 months.

^aBased on the Clopper-Pearson method.

CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; DOR, duration of response; IRC, independent review committee; NR, not reached; ORR, objective response rate; PD, disease progression; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.





Clinically meaningful and durable responses were observed

Coleman RL et al. ESMO 2020. Abstract LBA32. Coleman RL. Lancet Oncol. 2021:S1470-2045(21)00056-5.

ORR Subgroup Analysis

Subgroup	n/N	% (95% CI)	ORR% (95% CI)
Overall	24/101	24 (15.9–33.3)	—
Histology			
Nonsquamous	8/32	25 (11.5–43.4)	
Squamous	16/69	23 (13.9–34.9)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Prior cisplatin + radiation			
Yes	14/55	26 (14.7–39.0)	
No	10/46	22 (10.9–36.4)	
Prior lines of systemic regimen			
1 line	20/71	28 (18.1–40.1)	<u> </u>
2 lines	4/30	13 (3.8–30.7)	
Response to last systemic regime	n ^a		
Yes	10/38	26 (13.4–43.1)	
No	12/57	21 (11.4–33.9)	
Bevacizumab in combination with	n chemotherapy doublet	as 1L therapy ^b	
Yes	12/64	19 (10.1–30.5)	
No	12/37	32 (18.0–49.8)	
ECOG performance status			
0	18/59	31 (19.2–43.9)	
1	6/42	14 (5.4–28.5)	
Region			
European Union	19/86	22 (13.9–32.3)	
United States	5/15	33 (11.8–61.6)	
	·		
			0 10 20 30 40

Data cutoff: February 06, 2020. Median duration of follow-up: 10.0 months. The vertical line indicates 24%, which was the ORR of the entire study cohort. ^aResponse to last systemic regimen was not available for 6 subjects. ^bThe term chemotherapy doublet includes either paclitaxel plus cisplatin or carboplatin or paclitaxel plus topotecan.

1L, first-line; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ORR, objective response rate.

Responses generally consistent across subgroups regardless of:

- **Tumor histology** lacksquare
- **Responses to prior systemic regimen** lacksquare
- Doublet chemotherapy with bevacizumab as 1L treatment

50 60 70 80 90 100

Coleman RL et al. ESMO 2020. Abstract LBA32. Coleman RL. Lancet Oncol. 2021:S1470-2045(21)00056-5.

PFS by IRC Assessment and OS

Data cutoff: February 06, 2020. Median duration of follow-up: 10.0 months. CI, confidence interval; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

Coleman RL et al. ESMO 2020. Abstract LBA32. Coleman RL. Lancet Oncol. 2021:S1470-2045(21)00056-5.

GOG FOUNDATION®

Most Common TRAEs with Tisotumab Vedotin

- Most TRAEs were grade 1 or 2 and no new safety signals were reported \bullet
- One death due to septic shock was considered by the investigator to be related to therapy^b \bullet

Data cutoff: February 06, 2020. Median duration of follow-up: 10.0 months. Median duration of treatment: 4.2 months (range, 1–16).

^aAny-grade AEs included if ≥10%. ^bThree treatment-emergent deaths unrelated to therapy included one case of ileus and two with unknown causes.

TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

TRAEs with ≥10% incidence^a

N=101

Coleman RL et al. ESMO 2020. Abstract LBA32. Coleman RL. Lancet Oncol. 2021:S1470-2045(21)00056-5.

Prespecified AEs of Interest of Tisotumab Vedotin

Ocular,^a bleeding,^b and peripheral neuropathy^c TRAEs

- **Ocular AEs were mostly mild to moderate, resolved, and were manageable with an eye care plan**
- Most bleeding events were grade 1 epistaxis (28%) of which majority resolved \bullet
- Most peripheral neuropathy events (known MMAE-related toxicity) were grade 1 and manageable with dose modifications; resolution was limited by follow-up period

Data cutoff: February 06, 2020. Median duration of follow-up: 10.0 months.

^aAny ocular SMQ (conjunctival disorders SMQ, corneal disorders SMQ, scleral disorders SMQ, retinal disorders SMQ, periorbital and eyelid disorders SMQ, ocular infections SMQ, optic nerve disorders SMQ, glaucoma SMQ, lacrimal disorders SMQ, and eye disorders SMQ). ^bHemorrhage SMQ. ^cPeripheral neuropathy SMQ. ^dAssessment limited by the protocol-defined follow-up period for AE of only 30 days after the last dose.

AE, adverse event; MMAE, monomethyl auristatin E; SMQ, standardized MedDRA queries; TRAE, treatment-related adverse events.

	Ocular	Bleeding	Periphera Neuropath
Time to onset (median, months)	1.4	0.3	3.1
Events resolved, %	86	90	21
Time to resolution ^d (median, months)	0.7	0.5	0.6

Coleman RL et al. ESMO 2020. Abstract LBA32. Coleman RL. Lancet Oncol. 2021:S1470-2045(21)00056-5.

Bringing TV to the Clinic

- FDA filing of the tisotumab vedotin BLA (Biologics License Application) for accelerated approval announced in April 21
- Under the PDUFA (Prescription Drug User Fee Act), the FDA has set a target action date 10 October 21 (priority review)

ENGOT cx12/GOG-3057/innovaTV 301: Schema

Phase 3, randomized trial of Tisotumab Vedotin vs Investigator's choice chemotherapy in 2nd or 3rd line recurrent cervical cancer

- Progressed during or after 1L chemo of taxane/platin or tax/topo w/wo Bev for metastatic/ recurrent cxca
- 1 or 2 prior lines for metastatic or recurrent disease
- Measurable disease

Planned No. of patients: 482

https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04697628

Tisotumab Vedotin + Bevacizumab or Pembrolizumab or Carboplatin in Recurrent/Metastatic Cervical Cancer: Phase 1b/2 ENGOT-Cx8/GOG-3024/innovaTV 205 Study **Dose-Escalation Results**

Bradley J. Monk,¹ Toon Van Gorp,² Domenica Lorusso,³ Roisin Eilish O'Cearbhaill,⁴ Anneke Westermann,⁵ Susana Banerjee,⁶ Dearbhaile Catherine Collins,⁷ Jaroslav Klat,⁸ Kristine Madsen,⁹ Jean-Francois Baurain,¹⁰ Amanda Jackson,¹¹ Ingrid Boere,¹² Sandro Pignata,¹³ Eelke Gort,¹⁴ John Moroney,¹⁵ Ibrahima Soumaoro,¹⁶ Camilla Mondrup Andreassen,¹⁷ Leonardo Viana Nicacio,¹⁸ Christine Gennigens,¹⁹ Ignace Vergote²⁰

¹Arizona Oncology (US Oncology Network), University of Arizona College of Medicine, Creighton University School of Medicine, Phoenix, AZ, USA; ²Gynecological Oncology, KU Leuven University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Flanders, Belgium; ³Fondazione IRCCS, Foundation Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS; ⁴Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁵Academisch Medisch Centrum, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁶The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ⁷Cork University Hospital/Oncology Trials Unit, Cork, Ireland; ⁸ University Hospital Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic; ⁹Righospitalet, University Hospital of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; ¹⁰Université Catholique de Louvain (UCLouvain), Brussels, Belgium; ¹¹University of Cincinnati Cancer Center, Cinnacinnati, OH, USA; ¹²Department of Medical Oncology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; ¹³Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, Napoli, Italy; ¹⁴University Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands; ¹⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Chicago, IL, USA; ¹⁶Genmab US, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA; ¹⁷Genmab, Copenhagen, Denmark; ¹⁸ Seagen Inc., Bothell, WA, USA; ¹⁹Department of Medical Oncology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Liege, Liege, Belgium; ²⁰Belgium and Luxembourg Gynaecological Oncology Group, University of Leuven, Leuven Cancer Institute, Leuven, Belgium

Secondary Objectives: Evaluation of safety and tolerability, antitumor activity, durability of tumor response, clinical efficacy including survival outcomes, and the pharmacokinetics and immunogenicity of TV combinations

AUC, area under the curve; DL, dose level; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; Q3W, every 3 weeks; TV, tisotumab vedotin.

Drugs administered IV on day 1 of 21-day cycle. Patients were treated for at least 2 cycles to evaluate DLTs.

≥18 years of age with recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer Progressed on/after or were ineligible/intolerant to standard-of-care ECOG performance status of 0 or 1 and life expectancy ≥ 3 months Arm B Arm C TV + Pembrolizumab TV + Carboplatin DL1 (n = 6): TV 1.3 mg/kg + Carboplatin AUC 5 DL1 (n = 6): TV 1.3 mg/kg + Pembrolizumab 200 mg DL2 (n = 7): TV 2.0 mg/kg + Pembrolizumab 200 mg DL2 (n = 7): TV 2.0 mg/kg + Carboplatin AUC 5

Baseline Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

	Arm A: TV/Bev (N=15)	Arm B: TV/Pembro (N=13)	Arm C: TV/Carbo (N=13)		
Age, median (range), years	46.0 (30–62)	45.0 (32–75)	52.0 (35–65)		
ECOG performance status, n (%)					
0	13 (86.7)	8 (61.5)	9 (69.2)		
1	2 (13.3)	5 (38.5)	4 (30.8)		
Histology, n (%)					
Squamous	8 (53.3)	7 (53.8)	6 (46.2)		
Adenocarcinoma	7 (46.7)	6 (46.2)	6 (46.2)		
Adenosquamous	0	0	1 (7.7)		
Prior lines of systemic treatment*. n (%)					
0	1 (6.7)	0	0		
1	6 (40.0)	5 (38.5)	5 (38.5)		
2	6 (40.0)	4 (30.8)	4 (30.8)		
3	1 (6.7)	3 (23.1)	2 (15.4)		
4	1 (6.7)	1 (7.7)	2 (15.4)		
Bevacizumab plus chemotherapy doublet					
as first-line therapy#, n (%)					
Yes	6 (40.0)	6 (46.2)	4 (30.8)		
No	9 (60.0)	7 (53.8)	9 (69.2)		
*In the metastatic or recurrent setting; # paclitaxel + cisplatin/carboplatin or paclitaxel + topotecan					
Sev. bevacizumab: Carbo, carboplatin: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group: pembro, pembrolizumab: TV, tisotumab vedotin					

Bev, bevacizumab; Carbo, carboplatin; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; pembro, pembrolizumab; TV, tisotumab vedotin

)N[®]

Patient Disposition

- At the time of data cutoff (March 1, 2021) the median duration of followup was 8.6 (5 20) months in Arm A, ullet16.0 (0 – 22) months in Arm B, and 12.5 (0 – 20) months in Arm C
- No patients discontinued from the study due to pregnancy, loss to follow-up, poor/non-compliance, sponsor ${\color{black}\bullet}$ decision, patient request, COVID-19 or other reasons
 - The most common reason for discontinuation of study treatment was disease progression

	Arm A: TV/Bev (N=15)	Arm B: TV/Pembro (N=13)	Arm C: TV/Carbo (N=13)
Patients with ongoing treatment	9 (60.0)	1 (7.7)	2 (15.4)
Patients who discontinued treatment	6 (40.0)	12 (92.3)	11 (84.6)
Radiographical PD	6 (40.0)	7 (53.8)	8 (61.5)
Death	0	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)
AEs	0	2 (15.4)	2 (15.4)
Withdrawal of consent	0	1 (7.7)	0
Clinical PD	0	1 (7.7)	0

Safety Summary

- ${ \bullet }$ grade 1 or 2
- Serious AEs related to TV occurred in 3 patients each in Arms B and C
- No fatal AEs were reported ${ \bullet }$

	Arm A: TV/Bev (N=15)	Arm B: TV/Pembro (N=13)	Arm C: TV/Carbo (N=13)
Patients with at least one TEAE, n (%)			
AE	15 (100.0)	13 (100.0)	13 (100.0)
AE related to TV	15 (100.0)	12 (92.3)	12 (92.3)
AESI for TV, n (%)			
Ocular AE	12 (80.0)	7 (53.8)	8 (61.5)
Peripheral neuropathy	9 (60.0)	7 (53.8)	3 (23.1)
Bleeding AE	11 (73.3)	6 (46.2)	7 (53.8)
Grade ≥3 AE, n (%)	5 (33.3)	12 (92.3)	8 (61.5)
Grade ≥3 AE related to TV	2 (13.3)	8 (61.5)	7 (53.8)
SAE, n (%)	3 (20.0)	8 (61.5)	5 (38.5)
SAE related to TV	0	3 (23.1)	3 (23.1)
Fatal AE, n (%)	0	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)
Fatal AE related to TV	0	0	0
E, adverse event; AESI, adverse event of special interest; Bev,	bevacizumab; Carbo, carboplatin; pen	nbro, pembrolizumab; PN, peripheral neuro	opathy; GOG FOUNDATIC

A SAE, serious adverse event; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TV, tisotumab vedotin.

AEs of special interest with TV included ocular adverse events, peripheral neuropathy, and bleeding and were mostly

No patients in Arms A and B had grade 4 events related to TV; 3 patients in Arm C had grade 4 events related to TV

ON®

Tumor Response

- lacksquarecarboplatin decreased in size, and many showed a decrease >30%
- The median time to response was 2.8, 2.1, and 1.5 months in Arms A, B, and C, respectively

Arm A

AUC, area under the curve; Bev, bevacizumab; Carbo, carboplatin; CR, complete response; DL, dose level; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PD, progressive disease; Pembro, pembrolizimab; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; Q3W, every 3 weeks; TV, tisotumab vedotin.

Compared to baseline, the tumors in most patients receiving TV plus bevacizumab, pembrolizumab, or

Arm B

Arm C

Efficacy Summary

The confirmed ORR was 33.3%, 15.4%, and 30.8% of patients in Arms A, B, and C, respectively

	Arm A: TV/Bev (N=15)	Arm B: TV/Pembro (N=13)	Arm C: TV/Carbo (N:
Median follow-up time (months) (range)	8.6 (5–20)	16.0 (0–22)	12.5 (0–20)
Confirmed BOR, n (%) CR PR SD PD Not evaluable	1 (6.7) 4 (26.7) 9 (60.0) 1 (6.7) 0	0 2 (15.4) 9 (69.2) 0 2 (15.4)	0 4 (30.8) 6 (46.2) 2 (15.4) 1 (7.7)
ORR*, n (%) [95% Cl [#]]	5 (33.3) [11.8–61.6]	2 (15.4) [1.9–45.4]	4 (30.8) [9.1–61.4
DCR, n (%) [95% Cl [#]]	14 (93.3) [68.1–99.8]	11 (84.6) [54.6–98.1]	10 (76.9) [46.2–95.
Time to response (months), median (range)	2.8 (1.5–9.8)	2.1 (1.2–2.9)	1.5 (1.2–2.8)
Median DOR (months)	NE	NE	6.5
Median PFS (months)	11.3	5.6	4.4
Median OS (months)	NE	17.1	12.5

*Objective Response Rate is the proportion of patients whose best overall response is either CR or PR according to RECIST v1.1. # Exact 95% two-sided confidence interval using the **Clopper-Pearson method**

Bev, bevacizumab; BOR, best overall response; Carbo, carboplatin; CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; DCR, disease control rate [DCR=CR+PR+SD]; DOR, duration of response; NE, not estimable; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; pembro, pembrolizumab; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; TV, tisotumab GOG FOUNDATION® vedotin.

Evolving Cervical Cancer Treatment Paradigm

- Cisplatin with radiation (CCRT) ¹⁹⁹⁹
- Platinum + paclitaxel +/- bevacizumab 1-L ²⁰¹⁴
- Pembrolizumab 2-L ²⁰¹⁸
 - Bastilimab ²⁰²¹
 - Cemiplimab²⁰²²
- Adding pembrolizumab to 1-L based on KN-826²⁰²²
- Adding durvalumab to CCRT based on CALLA? TBD

Thank you for your attention!

